

### 3.3. Estimating the key length of Vigenère ciphers

Stochastic model:

$$\mathcal{X} = \{0, \dots, m-1\} \quad \text{Alphabet}$$

$k$  keyword length,  $n$  message length,  $k/n$

$$M = (M_1, \dots, M_k, M_{k+1}, \dots, M_{ek}, M_{ek+1}, \dots, M_n)$$

$$\oplus \quad K = (K_1, \dots, K_k, K_{k+1}, \dots, K_{ek}, K_{ek+1}, \dots, K_n)$$

$$C = (C_1, \dots, C_k, C_{k+1}, \dots, C_{ek}, C_{ek+1}, \dots, C_n)$$

$$M_i: \text{i.i.d.}, \quad P(M_i = e) = p_e \quad (\text{known})$$

$$K_i: \text{i.i.d.}, \quad P(K_i = e) = \frac{1}{m}$$

$I_C$ : Index of coincidence,

$$I_C = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{i < j} Y_{ij}, \quad Y_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & C_i = C_j \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n$$

$$K_M = \sum_{e=0}^{m-1} p_e^2$$

$$\underline{\text{Lemma 3.5.}} \quad E(I_C) = \frac{1}{k(k-1)} \left[ (n-k) K_M + n(k-1) \frac{1}{m} \right] (*)$$

Outline of the proof.

Consider 2 cases:

$$1.) \quad i \equiv j \pmod{k}$$

$$E(Y_{ij}) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} p_e^k = k_M$$

$$2.) \quad i \not\equiv j \pmod{k}$$

$$E(Y_{ij}) = \frac{1}{m}$$

$$\text{Finally: } E(I_c) = \frac{1}{\binom{m}{2}} \sum_{i < j} E(Y_{ij})$$

$$= \frac{1}{\binom{m}{2}} \left[ \sum_{\substack{i < j \\ i \neq j}} E(Y_{ij}) + \sum_{\substack{i < j \\ i \neq j}} E(Y_{ji}) \right]$$

$$= (*)$$

We are interested in  $k$ . Solve (\*) for  $k$ :

$$(n-1) E(I_c) = \frac{1}{k} \left( n(k_M - \frac{1}{m}) - (k_M - \frac{n}{m}) \right)$$

$$k = \frac{n \left( k_M - \frac{1}{m} \right)}{(n-1) E(I_c) + k_M - \frac{n}{m}}$$

Application: Estimate  $E(\bar{I}_c)$  by  $\bar{I}_c$

$$\bar{I}_c = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{e=1}^{n-1} u_e(u_{e-1})$$

By Lemma 3.3. P.R. :  $\bar{I}_c \rightarrow E(\bar{I}_c) (n \rightarrow \infty)$  a.e.

In German:  $K_M = 0.0762$ ,  $n=26$

Hence:

$$\hat{k} = \frac{0.03774}{(n-1)\bar{I}_c - 0.0385n + 0.0762}$$

If  $k$  is known, write  $C$  as follows

$$\tilde{C} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \dots & c_k \\ c_{k+1} & \dots & c_{2k} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_{3k+1} & \dots & c_n \end{pmatrix}$$

The columns are monoalphabetic, apply frequency analysis to the columns.

### 3.4. Vigenère cipher with running key

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_n \\
 \oplus & s_1 & s_2 & \cdots & s_n \\
 \hline
 c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_n
 \end{array} \quad (\text{taken from a book})$$

Frequency attack is possible, if  $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  is from a nat. language.

Model:  $M_i$  r.v.s occurrence of plaintext char } stock.  
 $K_i$  r.v.s      u      u key char.      } ind.

Consider most freq. char.: E, T, A, O, I, N, S (57%)

$$P((M_i, K_i) \in \{E, \dots, S\}^2) = 0.57^2 = 0.3249$$

About  $\frac{1}{3}$  of all ciphert. char. are obtained by "adding" 2 of the most frequent char.

Most frequent characters: (total 57.29%)

E - 12.51%, T - 9.25%, A - 8.04%, O - 7.60%, I - 7.26%, N - 7.09%, S - 6.54%

---

Example:

I	T	I	S	N	I	C	E	T	O	L	E	A	R	N	A	B	O	U	T	C	R	Y	P	T	O	G	R	A	P	H	Y
E	T	A	I	S	A	N	E	L	E	M	E	N	T	O	F	T	H	E	G	E	K	A	L	P	H	A	B	E			
M	M	I	A	F	I	P	I	E	S	X	I	N	K	B	F	U	V	Y	Z	T	V	C	Z	T	Z	V	Y	A	Q	L	R

Investigating the first five ciphertext characters:

A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z  
M L K J I H G F E D C B A Z Y X W V U T S R Q P O N  
M M

---

A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z  
I H G F E D C B A Z Y X W V U T S R Q P O N M L K J  
I I

---

A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z  
A Z Y X W V U T S R Q P O N M L K J I H G F E D C B  
A A

---

A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z  
F E D C B A Z Y X W V U T S R Q P O N M L K J I H G  
F F

---

There are  $3 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 = 72$  pairs out of the most frequent characters.

Some of them are:

EIAAN ... ITAAN ... ITEIN ... ITINN ... ITISN  
IEIAS ... ETIAS ... ETESS ... ETANS ... ETAIS

Defense against this attack: random key stream  
 → one time pad

However, never use the same key twice. Otherwise:

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) \oplus (k_1, \dots, k_n) = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$$

$$(b_1, \dots, b_n) \oplus (k_1, \dots, k_n) = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$$

Oscar:

$$(c_i - d_i) \bmod 26 = (a_i - b_i) \bmod 26$$

vulnerable to the above attack.

## 4. Entropy and Perfect Secrecy

### 4.1. Entropy

Consider random experiments, e.g.,

$$(0.9, 0.05, 0.05)$$

$$(0.33, 0.33, 0.34)$$

We aim at a measure of

$$! \begin{cases} \text{uncertainty about the outcome (before)} \\ \text{information gained by the outcome (after)} \end{cases}$$

The right measure was introduced by Shannon (1949).

Formal description

$X$ : discrete r.v. with finite support  $\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$

distribution:  $P(X=x_i) = p_i, i=1, \dots, m$

Def. 4.1. Let  $c > 1$  constant.

$$H(X) = - \sum_{i=1}^m p_i \log_c p_i = - \sum_{i=1}^m P(X=x_i) \log_c P(X=x_i)$$

is called entropy of  $X$  (or  $(p_1, \dots, p_m)$ ). ↓

Convention:  $0 \cdot \log 0 = 0$ , omit  $c$  but fix it.

Analogously for 2-dim. random variables

$(X, Y)$  with support  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \times \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$   
 distribution  $P(X=x_i, Y=y_j) = p_{ij}$

Def 4.?

$$\begin{aligned} a) H(X, Y) &= - \sum_{i,j} P(X=x_i, Y=y_j) \log P(X=x_i, Y=y_j) \\ &= - \sum_{i,j} p_{ij} \log p_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

is called (joint) entropy of  $X, Y$ .

$$\begin{aligned} b) H(X|Y) &= - \sum_{j=1}^n P(Y=y_j) \sum_{i=1}^m P(X=x_i | Y=y_j) \log P(X=x_i | Y=y_j) \\ &= - \sum_{i,j} P(X=x_i, Y=y_j) \log P(X=x_i | Y=y_j) \end{aligned}$$

is called conditional entropy or equivocation. |

Theorem 4.3.

$$a) \quad 0 \stackrel{(i)}{\leq} H(X) \stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} \log m$$

" in (i)  $\Leftrightarrow \exists x_i : P(X=x_i) = 1$

" in (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow P(X=x_i) = \frac{1}{m} \forall i$

$$b) \quad 0 \stackrel{(i)}{\leq} H(X|Y) \stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} H(X)$$

" in (i)  $\Leftrightarrow P(X=x_i | Y=y_j) = 1 \forall i,j \text{ with } P(X=x_i, Y=y_j) > 0$

" in (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow X, Y \text{ stoch. indep.}$

$$c) \quad H(X) \stackrel{(i)}{\leq} H(X, Y) \stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} H(X) + H(Y)$$

" in (i)  $\Leftrightarrow Y \text{ is fully dependent on } X$

" in (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow X, Y \text{ stoch. independent}$

$$d) \quad H(X, Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X) = H(Y) + H(X|Y)$$

(chain rule)

Proof: any book on information theory

+