Prof. Dr. Rudolf Mathar, Dr. Arash Behboodi, Qinwei He

Exercise 9
- Proposed Solution -

Friday, June 30, 2017

Solution of Problem 1

a) ”⇒” Let n with $n > 1$ be prime. Then, each factor m of $(n-1)!$ is in the multiplicative group $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$. Each factor m has a multiplicative inverse modulo n. The factors 1 and $n-1$ are obviously inverse to themselves. The factorial multiplies all these factors. The entire product must be 1 since all pairs of inverses yield 1.

$$(n-1)! \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} i \equiv \underbrace{(n-1)}_{\text{self-inv.}} \underbrace{(n-2) \cdot \ldots \cdot 3 \cdot 2}_{\text{pairs of inv. } \equiv 1} \cdot \underbrace{1}_{\text{self-inv.}} \equiv (n-1) \equiv -1 \mod n$$

”⇐” Let $n = ab$ and hence composite with $a, b \neq 1$ prime. Thus $a|n$ and $a|(n-1)!$. From $(n-1)! \equiv -1 \Rightarrow (n-1)! + 1 \equiv 0$, we obtain $a|((n-1)!+1) \Rightarrow a|1 \Rightarrow a = 1 \Rightarrow n$ must be prime. $\lightning$

b) Compute the factorial of 28:

$$\begin{aligned} 28! = {} & \overbrace{(28 \cdot 27)}^{2} \cdot \overbrace{(26 \cdot 25)}^{12} \cdot \overbrace{(24 \cdot 23)}^{1} \cdot \overbrace{(22 \cdot 21)}^{27} \cdot \overbrace{(20 \cdot 19)}^{3} \cdot \overbrace{(18 \cdot 17)}^{16} \\ & \underbrace{(16 \cdot 15)}_{8} \cdot \underbrace{(14 \cdot 13)}_{8} \cdot \underbrace{(12 \cdot 11)}_{16} \cdot \underbrace{(10 \cdot 9 \cdot 8)}_{24} \cdot \underbrace{(7 \cdot 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4)}_{28} \cdot \underbrace{(3 \cdot 2)}_{6} \\ = {} & \underbrace{(2 \cdot 12 \cdot 1 \cdot 27 \cdot 3)}_{1} \cdot \underbrace{(16 \cdot 8 \cdot 8 \cdot 16)}_{-1} \cdot \underbrace{(24 \cdot 28 \cdot 6)}_{1} \equiv -1 \mod 29 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, 29 is prime as shown by Wilson’s primality criterion.

c) Using this criterion is computationally inefficient, since computing the factorial is very time-consuming.

Solution of Problem 2

a) When $n = 1043$ and $a = 2$, the process of Pollard's $p - 1$ algorithm is

b	d
$b_1 = a \bmod 1403 = 2$	$d_1 = \gcd(1, 1403) = 1$
$b_2 = b_1^2 \bmod 1403 = 4$	$d_2 = \gcd(3, 1403) = 1$
$b_3 = b_2^3 \bmod 1403 = 64$	$d_3 = \gcd(63, 1403) = 1$
$b_4 = b_3^4 \bmod 1403 = 142$	$d_4 = \gcd(141, 1403) = 1$
$b_5 = b_4^5 \bmod 1403 = 794$	$d_5 = \gcd(793, 1403) = 61$

Therefore, 61 is a non-trivial factor of 1403 and $1403 = 23 \times 61$

b) When $n = 1081$ and $a = 2$, the process of Pollard's $p - 1$ algorithm is

b	d
$b_1 = a \bmod 1081 = 2$	$d_1 = \gcd(1, 1081) = 1$
$b_2 = b_1^2 \bmod 1081 = 4$	$d_2 = \gcd(3, 1081) = 1$
$b_3 = b_2^3 \bmod 1081 = 64$	$d_3 = \gcd(63, 1081) = 1$
$b_4 = b_3^4 \bmod 1081 = 96$	$d_4 = \gcd(95, 1081) = 1$
$b_5 = b_4^5 \bmod 1081 = 173$	$d_5 = \gcd(172, 1081) = 1$
$b_6 = b_5^6 \bmod 1081 = 1021$	$d_6 = \gcd(1020, 1081) = 1$
$b_7 = b_6^7 \bmod 1081 = 1038$	$d_7 = \gcd(1037, 1081) = 1$
$b_8 = b_7^8 \bmod 1081 = 413$	$d_8 = \gcd(412, 1081) = 1$
$b_9 = b_8^9 \bmod 1081 = 784$	$d_9 = \gcd(783, 1081) = 1$
$b_{10} = b_9^{10} \bmod 1081 = 873$	$d_{10} = \gcd(872, 1081) = 1$
$b_{11} = b_{10}^{11} \bmod 1081 = 441$	$d_{11} = \gcd(440, 1081) = 1$
$b_{12} = b_{11}^{12} \bmod 1081 = 501$	$d_{12} = \gcd(500, 1081) = 1$
$b_{13} = b_{12}^{13} \bmod 1081 = 898$	$d_{13} = \gcd(897, 1081) = 23$

Therefore, 23 is a non-trivial factor of 1081 and $1081 = 23 \times 47$

c) If a composite $n = p \cdot q$, where p and q are primes, then the Pollard's $p - 1$ algorithm can be prevented if $p - 1$ and $q - 1$ both have at least one large prime factor. Because this algorithm is only efficiency when $p - 1$ has all its prime factors $\leq B$. Thus, when $p - 1$ and $q - 1$ contain at least one large prime factor for each of them, the value of B must be larger or equal to the largest prime factor.

Solution of Problem 3

Chinese Remainder Theorem:
Let $m_1, \dots, m_r$ be pair-wise relatively prime, i.e., $\gcd(m_i, m_j) = 1$ for all $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, and furthermore let $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, the system of congruences

$$x \equiv a_i \pmod{m_i},\ i = 1, \dots, r,$$

has a unique solution modulo $M = \prod_{i=1}^{r} m_i$ given by

$$x \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i M_i y_i \pmod{M}, \tag{1}$$

where $M_i = \frac{M}{m_i}$, $y_i = M_i^{-1} \pmod{m_i}$, for $i = 1, \dots, r$.

a) Show that (1) is a valid solution for the system of congruences:

Let $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Since $m_j \mid M_i$ holds for all $i \neq j$, it follows:

$$M_i \equiv 0 \pmod{m_j}. \tag{2}$$

Furthermore, we have $y_j M_j \equiv 1 \pmod{m_j}$.

Note that from coprime factors of M, we obtain:

$$\gcd(M_j, m_j) = 1 \Rightarrow \exists\, y_j \equiv M_j^{-1} \pmod{m_j}, \tag{3}$$

and the solution of (1) modulo a corresponding m_j can be simplified to:

$$x \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i M_i y_i \overset{(2)}{\equiv} a_j M_j y_j \overset{(3)}{\equiv} a_j \pmod{m_j}.$$

b) Show that the given solution is unique for the system of congruences:

Assume that two different solutions y, z exist:

$$\begin{aligned}
&y \equiv a_i \pmod{m_i} \ \wedge\ z \equiv a_i \pmod{m_i},\ i = 1, \dots, r,\\
&\Rightarrow 0 \equiv (y - z) \pmod{m_i}\\
&\Rightarrow m_i \mid (y - z)\\
&\Rightarrow M \mid (y - z), \text{ as } m_1, \dots, m_r \text{ are relatively prime for } i = 1, \dots, r,\\
&\Rightarrow y \equiv z \pmod{M}.
\end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction, therefore the solution is unique.